

COHEN-MACAULAY CLASSES WHICH ARE NOT CONIC

CORNEL BAETICA

ABSTRACT. We provide two examples which show that for an affine semigroup ring the set of Cohen-Macaulay classes can be larger than the set of conic classes.

INTRODUCTION

Let $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a normal semigroup. It can be described as the set of lattice points in a finite generated rational cone, i. e. $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \sigma_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, s\}$ is the set of lattice points satisfying a system of homogeneous inequalities given by linear forms σ_i with integral coefficients. For a field K the semigroup ring $R = K[S]$ is normal. Suppose in addition that the group $\text{gp}(S)$ generated by S equals $\mathbb{Z}^n$, and the presentation of S is irredundant. Bruns and Gubeladze [1] have studied the divisorial ideals of R of the form KT , where $T = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \sigma_i(z) \geq \sigma_i(\beta)\}$, for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. They called these divisorial ideals *conic*, and it is known that conic divisorial ideals are Cohen-Macaulay (see Stanley [5] and Dong [4]). The set of conic classes contains all torsion classes in the divisor class group, and it is strictly larger whenever $\text{Cl}(R)$ is non-torsion. In this note we provide two examples which show that the set of Cohen-Macaulay classes can be larger than the set of conic classes.

1. THE SEGRE PRODUCT OF THREE POLYNOMIAL RINGS

It is known that all Cohen-Macaulay classes are conic when the ring R is the Segre product of two polynomial rings over a field, see [1]. We wonder whether the same property holds when one considers the Segre product of three polynomial rings. We can prove that in this case the number of Cohen-Macaulay classes is greater than the number of conic classes.

Consider a field K and the Segre product $R = K[X_i Y_j Z_k : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq p]$ of polynomial rings $R_1 = K[X_1, \dots, X_m]$, $R_2 = K[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ and $R_3 = K[Z_1, \dots, Z_p]$, $m, n, p \geq 2$, with its standard embedding in

$$P = K[X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z_1, \dots, Z_p].$$

We often denote the Segre product of two rings A and B as $A \# B$. The ring R can be described as a semigroup ring $K[S]$ with $S = \{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{m+n+p} : |\alpha| = |\beta| = |\gamma|\}$, where $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. It has the divisor class group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and P decomposes as an R -module into a direct sum of rank 1 R -modules M_c ,

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13C14, 13C20; Secondary 13F20, 14M25, 20M25, 11D75.

Key words and phrases. Affine semigroup ring, divisorial class group, divisorial ideal, conic class.

$c \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, such that M_c is isomorphic to a divisorial ideal of class c , see [1, Theorem 2.1]. We can write

$$M_{(i,j)} = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|+i, |\alpha|=|\gamma|+j} KX^\alpha Y^\beta Z^\gamma,$$

and the crucial point is that $M_{(i,j)}$ is also a Segre product of some shifts of the polynomial rings above, namely $M_{(i,j)} = R_1 \# R_2(-i) \# R_3(-j)$. To decide when the modules $M_{(i,j)}$ are Cohen-Macaulay we will use a criterion of Stückrad and Vogel [6, Theorem] and we will record it here. However some definitions are needed.

Definition 1.1. *Let R be a positive graded K -algebra, and M a finitely generated graded R -module. We define $a(M)$ as being the degree of $H_M(t)$, the Hilbert series of M and $r(M) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : M_n \neq 0\}$.*

Let us remark that $a(M)$ is an extension of the a -invariant for modules.

Theorem 1.2. (Stückrad and Vogel [6]) *Let R_1 and R_2 be positively graded K -algebras and M_1, M_2 Cohen-Macaulay graded R_1 -resp. R_2 -modules of Krull dimension greater or equal than 2. Then $M_1 \# M_2$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module (over $R_1 \# R_2$) if and only if $a(M_1) + 1 \leq r(M_2)$ and $a(M_2) + 1 \leq r(M_1)$.*

Another result we will use in the sequel is the following

Proposition 1.3. (Bruns and Guerrieri [2]) *Let $R_1 = K[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ and $R_2 = K[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$. Then $R_1 \# R_2(-i)$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $-(m-1) \leq i \leq n-1$.*

We are ready now to prove the following

Proposition 1.4. *The ring R has $(m^2 + n^2 + p^2) + (mn + mp + np) - 2(m + n + p) + 1$ Cohen-Macaulay classes, and $(mn + mp + np) - (m + n + p) + 1$ conic classes.*

Proof. To decide when the modules $M_{(i,j)}$ are Cohen-Macaulay we have to consider the following cases

Case 1: $i \geq 0, j \geq i$. If $i \leq n-1$, then $R_1 \# R_2(-i)$ is Cohen-Macaulay and the module $M_{(i,j)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $a(R_1 \# R_2(-i)) + 1 \leq j$ and $a(R_3(-j)) + 1 \leq i$. As $a(R_1 \# R_2(-i)) = -\max(m, n-i)$, then we get $M_{(i,j)}$ Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $j-i \leq p-1$.

If $j \leq p-1$, then $R_1 \# R_3(-j)$ is Cohen-Macaulay and the module $M_{(i,j)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $a(R_1 \# R_3(-j)) + 1 \leq i$ and $a(R_2(-i)) + 1 \leq j$. As $a(R_1 \# R_3(-j)) = -\max(m, p-j)$, then we get $M_{(i,j)}$ Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $j \leq p-1$.

If $i \geq n$ and $j \geq p$, then we consider the following situations: for $j-i \leq p-1$ we have $R_2 \# R_3(i-j)$ Cohen-Macaulay and $M_{(i,j)}$ is isomorphic to $R_1 \# (R_2 \# R_3(i-j))(-i)$. Applying again the criterion 1.2 we get an impossible inequality, so $M_{(i,j)}$ can not be Cohen-Macaulay in this case. If $j-i \geq p$, then $\mu(M_{(i,j)})$ the minimal number of generators of $M_{(i,j)}$ is greater than $e(R)$ the multiplicity of R and by Serre's numerical Cohen-Macaulay criterion (see [3, Theorem 4.7.11]) we get again that $M_{(i,j)}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay.

It is not hard to see that the number of Cohen-Macaulay classes is $pn + (p - n)(p - n + 1)/2$ in this case.

Case 2: $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j < i$. As before, for $i \leq n - 1$ we get $M_{(i,j)}$ Cohen-Macaulay for all i , for $j \leq p - 1$ the module $M_{(i,j)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $i - j \leq n - 1$, and for $i \geq n$ and $j \geq p$ the module $M_{(i,j)}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay. We get $p(n - 1)$ Cohen-Macaulay classes in this case.

Case 3: $i \geq 0$ and $j < 0$. The same argument as above leads us to the following: for $-j \leq m - 1$ $M_{(i,j)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $i \leq n - 1$, $M_{(i,j)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for $i - j \leq n - 1$, and $M_{(i,j)}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay for $-j \geq m$ and $i - j \geq n$. The number of Cohen-Macaulay classes is $n(m - 1) + (n - m)(n - m + 1)/2$ in this case.

The following three cases are symmetric to the first three.

Case 4: $i < 0$ and $i \geq j$. The module $M_{(i,j)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for any $-j \leq m - 1$, for $i - j \leq n - 1$ the module $M_{(i,j)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $-i \leq m - 1$, and $M_{(i,j)}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay for $-j \geq m$ and $i - j \geq n$. Therefore the number of Cohen-Macaulay classes is $n(m - 1)$ in this case.

Case 5: $i < 0$ and $i < j \leq 0$. The module $M_{(i,j)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for any $-i \leq m - 1$, for $j - i \leq p - 1$ the module $M_{(i,j)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $-j \leq m - 1$, and $M_{(i,j)}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay for $-i \geq m$ and $j - i \geq p$. We get $m(p - 1)$ Cohen-Macaulay classes in this case.

Case 6: $i < 0$ and $j > 0$. The module $M_{(i,j)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for any $j - i \leq p - 1$, for $-i \leq m - 1$ the module $M_{(i,j)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $j \leq p - 1$, and $M_{(i,j)}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay for $-i \geq m$ and $j - i \geq n$. The number of Cohen-Macaulay classes in this case is $(m - 1)(p - 1) + (p - m - 1)(p - m)/2$.

Summing up we get $(m^2 + n^2 + p^2) + (mn + mp + np) - 2(m + n + p) + 1$ Cohen-Macaulay classes.

On the other hand, it is not hard to count how many conic classes we have. It relies on a remark made by Bruns and Gubeladze [1] in the proof of their Proposition 3.6. In fact, any conic class corresponds to the class of $\wp_1^{(i)} \cap \wp_2^{(j)}$, where $\wp_1$ is the divisorial ideal of R generated by the products $X_1 Y_k Z_l$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $1 \leq l \leq p$, and $\wp_2$ is the divisorial ideal of R generated by the products $X_k Y_1 Z_l$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, $1 \leq l \leq p$. To get all conic classes it is enough to consider $i = \lceil a - b \rceil$ and $j = \lceil a - c \rceil$ with $-m < a \leq 0$, $-(n - 1) < b \leq 0$, and $-(p - 1) < c \leq 0$. An easy counting provides the number of conic classes: $(mn + mp + np) - (m + n + p) + 1$. $\square$

Finally we get

Corollary 1.5. *For the Segre product of three polynomial rings over a field the number of Cohen-Macaulay classes is strictly greater than the number of conic classes.*

2. THE SEGRE PRODUCT OF TWO VERONESE EMBEDDINGS

Our second example involves the Segre product of two Veronese embeddings. Let K be a field and let $K[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ be a polynomial ring over K . The c -th Veronese subring of $K[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ is the K -subalgebra of $K[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ generated by the set of the monomials of degree c . We denote it by $K[X_1, \dots, X_m]^{(c)}$.

Let us consider $R = R_1^{(c)} \# R_2^{(d)}$, where $R_1 = K[X_1, \dots, X_m]$, $R_2 = K[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$, $m, n, c, d \geq 1$, $(c, d) = 1$, with its standard embedding in the polynomial ring $P = K[X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$. The ring R can be described as a semigroup ring $K[S]$ with $S = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{m+n} : d|\alpha| = c|\beta|\}$. Its divisor class group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ and P decomposes as an R -module into a direct sum of rank 1 R -modules M_i , $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that M_i is isomorphic to a divisorial ideal of class i , see again [1, Theorem 2.1]. We can write $M_i = \sum_{d|\alpha| - c|\beta| = i} KX^\alpha Y^\beta$ and the point is that M_i is also a Segre product of two Veronese embeddings of some shifts of the polynomial rings above, namely $M_i = R_1(-vi)^{(c)} \# R_2(-ui)^{(d)}$, where $u, v \geq 1$ such that $cu - dv = 1$.

Proposition 2.1. *The ring R has $m + n + c + d - 3$ conic classes, and at least $dm + cn - 1$ Cohen-Macaulay classes.*

Proof. Every conic class corresponds to the class of $p_1^{(i)} \cap p_2^{(j)}$, where p_1 is the divisorial ideal of R generated by the monomials $x \in S$ such that $\sigma_1(x) \geq 1$ and p_2 is the divisorial ideal of R generated by the monomials $x \in S$ such that $\sigma_2(x) \geq 1$, where the σ_i 's are the support forms of S . To get all conic classes it is enough to consider $i = \lceil ca - b \rceil$ and $j = \lceil da - b' \rceil$ with $-1 < a \leq 0$, $-(m-1) < b \leq 0$, and $-(n-1) < b' \leq 0$. Their number is $m + n + c + d - 3$.

The Stückrad and Vogel's criterion gives us that M_i is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $-\lceil (m - vi)/c \rceil + 1 \leq \lceil ui/d \rceil$ and $-\lceil (n - ui)/d \rceil + 1 \leq \lceil vi/c \rceil$. The first inequality holds for $i \geq -dm + 1$, while the second holds for $i \leq cn - 1$. But in general, it is possible to get values for i , outside of these ranges, that satisfies both inequalities. $\square$

Corollary 2.2. *The ring R has the same number of Cohen-Macaulay, respectively conic classes if and only if $c = d = 1$, $c = m = 1$, or $d = n = 1$.*

Proof. For $c = 1$ we get exactly $dm + n - 1$ Cohen-Macaulay classes, while for $d = 1$ their number is $m + cn - 1$. On the other side, when the number of Cohen-Macaulay classes equals the number of conic classes, then $m + n + c + d - 3$ should be greater or equal than $dm + cn - 1$. This leads to the following inequality $(d-1)(m-1) + (c-1)(n-1) \leq 0$. It holds if and only if $(d-1)(m-1) = 0$ and $(c-1)(n-1) = 0$. However, it is not difficult to see that for $m = n = 1$ and $c > 1, d > 1$ the number of Cohen-Macaulay classes is greater than $c + d - 1$. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is indebted to Prof. W. Bruns who invited him at the Osnabrück University in June-July 2001 and would like to thank him for valuable suggestions. The author also acknowledges the financial support given by NATO, grant A/01/41484.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze, *Divisorial linear algebra of normal semigroup rings*, preprint.
- [2] W. Bruns and A. Guerrieri, *The Dedekind-Mertens formula and determinantal rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127**(1999), 657-663. MR **99f**:13013
- [3] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR **95h**:13020

- [4] Xun Dong, *Alexander duality and a theorem of Danilov and Stanley*, preprint.
- [5] R. Stanley, *Combinatorics and invariant theory*. In D. K. Ray-Chaudury (ed.), *Relations between combinatorics and other parts of mathematics*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **34**(1979), 345-355. MR **80e**:15020
- [6] J. Stückrad and W. Vogel, *On Segre products and applications*, J. Algebra **54**(1978), 374-389. MR **80c**:14031

UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, 70109 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA
E-mail address: `baetica@al.math.unibuc.ro`